UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,938	10/15/2001	Tetsuro Motoyama	205850US-2	2679
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			ENGLAND, DAVID E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Applicant(s) Application No. 09/975,938 MOTOYAMA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit David E. England 2143 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) David E. England. (2) Kurt M. Berger Reg. No. 51,461. Date of Interview: 21 September 2007. Type: a)

☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 9. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims $f(x) \boxtimes x$ was reached. $f(x) \boxtimes x$ was not reached. $f(x) \boxtimes x$ Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney contacted Examiner to further prosecution and to determine patentability and amendment ideas to overcome the 101 pending rejection. Through discussion with SPE Follansbee, it was determined that the claim language of computer-readable medium was proper as long as it wasn't defined in the specification as a transmission medium. Examiner found no such language that would leave on of ordinary skill in the art to come to that conclution and therefore the Application will be allowed with an Examiner's amendment requested by the Attorney on two dependent claims that are supported by the enabling specification.

PC